

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/659,289	09/11/2003	Yukio Miyachi	242747US0 5581		
22850	7590 05/23/2005		EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	ZARNEKE, DAVID A			
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2891		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	ion No. Applicant(s)					
		10/659,2	89	MIYACHI ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		David A. 2		2891				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailtains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stat eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. xxmmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u>8/15/05</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is n	on-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-18 is/are with a Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and	drawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the		- · · · · ·		, ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	• •							
1) U Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) te.				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			

Art Unit: 2891

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1-13, in the reply filed on 3/15/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the "linear carbon structures" of Group II may be conductive. This is not found persuasive because Group I requires conductive carbons, while Group II requires linear carbon structures. S

Applicant's argument that they MAY be conductive is unpersuasive because the also MAY not be conductive.

Specifically, Group II doesn't require conductive as required by Group I.

Further, Group I doesn't require the carbon to be linear, as required by Group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Election/Restrictions

The elected Group I, claims 1-13, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1) Figure 1; or
- 2) figure 2; or
- 3) figure 3; or
- 4) figure 6A; or
- 5) figure 6B.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,289

Art Unit: 2891

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2891

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Baumeister can be reached on (571)-272-1712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Varneke Primary Examiner May 20, 2005